RECEIVED

OI MAR -7 PM 12: 34

HEARINGS CLERK

EPA -- REGION 10

BEFORE THE UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

In the matter of:

Wards Cove Packing, Co.

d/b/a E.C. Phillips & Son

Ketchikan, Alaska,

Respondent.

DOCKET NO. CWA-10-2001-0088

ADMINISTRATIVE COMPLAINT

I. AUTHORITIES

- 1. This administrative complaint for civil penalties ("Complaint") is issued under the authority vested in the Administrator of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA" or "Complainant") by Section 309(g)(2)(B) of the Clean Water Act ("Act"), 33 U.S.C. § 1319(g)(2)(B). The Administrator has delegated this authority to the Regional Administrator of EPA, Region 10, who in turn has redelegated it to the Director, Office of Water.
- 2. Pursuant to Section 309(g)(2)(B) of the Act, and in accordance with the "Consolidated Rules of Practice Governing the Administrative Assessment of Civil Penalties," 40 C.F.R. Part 22 ("Part 22 Rules"), Complainant hereby proposes the assessment of a civil penalty against Wards Cove Packing, Co. d/b/a E.C. Phillips & Son ("Respondent") for the unlawful discharge of pollutants into navigable waters in violation of Section 301(a) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1311(a).

28 COMPLAINT - 1

U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY 1200 Sixth Avenue Seattle, Washington 98101 (206) 553-1037 COMPLAINT - 2

II. ALLEGATIONS

- 3. Section 402 of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1342, provides that EPA may issue National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System ("NPDES") permits for the discharge of any pollutant into waters of the United States upon such specific terms and conditions as EPA may prescribe.
- 4. Section 301(a) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1311(a), prohibits the discharge of any pollutant by any person except as authorized by an NPDES permit or other specified statutory sections.
- 5. Respondent owns and operates the Wards Cove Packing, Co. d/b/a E.C. Phillips & Son facility located at 1775 Tongass Avenue, Ketchikan, Alaska 99901 ("Facility").
- 6. Respondent is a "person" within the meaning of Section 502(5) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1362(5).
- 7. Respondent is authorized to discharge pollutants from the Facility under General NPDES Permit No. AK-G52-0001 ("Permit"). The Permit became effective on August 4, 1995, expired on August 4, 2000, and is currently administratively extended.
- 8. The Facility, which was under Respondent's control at all times relevant to this action, discharged seafood process waste. Seafood process wastes are "pollutants" within the meaning of Section 502(6) and (12) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1362(6) and (12).
- 9. The Facility, which was under Respondent's control at all times relevant to this action, discharged pollutants through floor drains and from other confined and discrete conveyances which are each considered a "point source" within the meaning of Section 502(14) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1362(14).
- 10. The Facility, which was under Respondent's control at all times relevant to this action, discharged pollutants through a point source to Tongass Narrows which is "waters of the United States," as defined in Section 502(7) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1362(7).
- 11. Part V.C.1.b. of the Permit states that Respondent shall route all seafood process wastes through a waste-handling system.

- 12. Part VIII.E of the Permit states that Respondent shall at all times properly operate and maintain all facilities and systems of treatment and control (and related appurtenances) that are installed or used by a permittee to achieve compliance.
- 13. Part V.C.1.f.(3) of the Permit specifies that Respondent shall not discharge seafood sludge, deposits, debris, scum, floating solids, oily wastes, or foam which alone or in combination with other substances cause a film, sheen, emulsion, or scum on the surface of the water.
- 14. Part V.C.1.e of the Permit specifies that Respondent shall not discharge any other such wastewaters that contain foam, floating solids, grease, or oily wastes which produce a sheen on the water surface.
- 15. Part V.C.1.g of the Permit states that discharges shall not violate Alaska Water Quality Standards for floating or suspended residues, dissolved oxygen, oil and grease, fecal coliform, pH, temperature, color, turbidity, and total residual chlorine beyond the mixing zone.
 - 16. On July 13, 2000, EPA conducted an NPDES inspection of the Facility.
- 17. During the July 13, 2000 inspection, the EPA inspector observed that Respondent discharged seafood sludge, deposits, debris, scum, floating solids, oily wastes, or foam which alone or in combination with other substances cause a film, sheen, emulsion, or scum on the surface of the water.
- 18. During the July 13, 2000 inspection, the EPA inspector observed that Respondent discharged wastewaters that contained foam, floating solids, grease, or oily wastes which produced a sheen on the water surface.
- 19. Upon information and belief, Respondent has failed to route all seafood process wastes through a waste-handling system each day for the past five (5) years.
- 20. Upon information and belief, Respondent has discharged pollutants through a point source not authorized by Respondent's NPDES permit to waters of the United States.
- 21. Upon information and belief, Respondent has failed to properly operate and maintain all facilities and systems of treatment and control (and related appurtenances) that were installed or used by Respondent to achieve compliance.

- 22. The violations alleged in paragraphs 17 and 18 above, observed during the July 13, 2000 inspection, and violation of the permit requirement in paragraph 15 above, together constitute at least three violations in one day of Section 301(a) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1311(a).
- 23. The violations alleged in paragraph 19 through 21 above constitute at least 1825 days of violation of Section 301(a) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1311(a).
- 24. For the violations described above, under Section 309(g)(2)(B) of the Act and the Debt Collection Improvement Act of 1996, 31 U.S.C. § 3701, Respondent is liable for the administrative assessment of civil penalties in an amount not to exceed \$11,000 per day for each day during which a violation continues, up to a maximum of \$137,500.

III. PROPOSED PENALTY

- 25. Based on the foregoing authorities and allegations, Complainant hereby proposes to issue a Final Order assessing administrative penalties against Respondent, for the violations cited above, in the amount not to exceed ONE HUNDRED THIRTY SEVEN THOUSAND FIVE HUNDRED DOLLARS (\$137,500).
- 26. In accordance with Section 22.14 of the Part 22 Rules, 40 C.F.R. § 22.14(a)(4)(ii), this Complaint does not include a specific penalty demand. Pursuant to Section 309(g)(3) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1319(g)(3), EPA must determine the specific penalty to be assessed in this matter by taking into account the nature, circumstances, extent, and gravity of the violation, and, with respect to Respondent, ability to pay, prior history of violations, degree of culpability, economic benefit and savings (if any) resulting from the violation, and such other matters as justice may require.
- 27. The nature, circumstances, extent, and gravity of the violations described above are significant. Respondent's failure to route all seafood process waste through the waste-handling system and its failure to properly maintain all facilities and systems of treatment and control installed or used to achieve compliance has the potential to increase seafood waste deposition on the sea floor. Settleable materials which blanket the bottom of water bodies damage the invertebrate populations, and remove dissolved oxygen from overlying waters. The presence of foam and floating solids causing scum on the surface of a water body can increase turbidity. Turbid water caused by foam

and floating solids causing scum has the potential to decrease the depth of light penetration into the water body, thereby reducing the depth of the photic zone. This reduces primary production and decreases fish food. Additionally, with the increase in turbidity, the near-surface waters are heated because of the greater heat absorbency of the particulate material which tends to stabilize the water column and prevent vertical mixing. Such mixing reductions decrease the dispersion of dissolved oxygen and nutrients to lower portions of the water body. Turbid water, caused by foam and floating solids causing scum, also interferes with recreational use and aesthetic enjoyment of water.

- 28. Based on the information available to EPA regarding Respondent's financial condition, Respondent appears able to pay a civil penalty of up to \$137,500. Should Respondent submit information substantiating an inability to pay this amount, the specific assessed penalty will be adjusted to reflect this inability.
- 29. Respondent's degree of culpability is high. Respondent has knowingly failed to properly operate or maintain all facilities and systems of treatment and control, such as pipes or other conveyances, installed or used to achieve compliance and failed to route all seafood waste to the treatment system. Based on information and belief, this failure has resulted in unpermitted discharges over many years, and Respondent has taken no action to discontinue these discharges.
- 30. By delaying the costs associated with properly operating and maintaining all facilities and systems of treatment and control installed or used to achieve compliance and failing to route all seafood process waste through the waste-handling system, Respondent realized an economic benefit as a result of the violations alleged above. In addition, Respondent realized an economic benefit from failing to use de-foaming agents, or to use other methods to ensure compliance with the permit provisions listed above.

IV. OPPORTUNITY TO REQUEST A HEARING

31. Respondent has the right to file an Answer requesting a hearing on any material fact contained in this Complaint or on the appropriateness of the penalty proposed herein. Upon request, the Presiding Officer may hold a hearing for the assessment of these civil penalties, conducted in

accordance with the provisions of the Part 22 Rules and the Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. 1 2 § 551 et seq. A copy of the Part 22 Rules accompanies this Complaint. 32. Respondent's Answer, including any request for hearing, must be in writing and must be 3 4 filed with: 5 Regional Hearing Clerk U.S. Environmental Protection Agency 1200 Sixth Avenue, Mail Stop ORC-158 6 Seattle, Washington 98101 7 8 V. FAILURE TO FILE AN ANSWER 33. To avoid a default order being entered pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 22.17, Respondent must 9 file a written Answer to this Complaint with the Regional Hearing Clerk within thirty (30) days after 10 11 service of this Complaint. 12 34. In accordance with 40 C.F.R. § 22.15, Respondent's Answer must clearly and directly 13 admit, deny, or explain each of the factual allegations contained in this Complaint with regard to which Respondent has any knowledge. Respondent's Answer must also state: (1) the circumstances 14 or arguments which are alleged to constitute the grounds of defense; (2) the facts which Respondent 15 intends to place at issue; and (3) whether a hearing is requested. Failure to admit, deny, or explain 16 17 any material factual allegation contained herein constitutes an admission of the allegation. VI. INFORMAL SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE 18 19 35. Whether or not Respondent requests a hearing, Respondent may request an informal settlement conference to discuss the facts of this case, the proposed penalty, and the possibility of 20 21 settling this matter. To request such a settlement conference, Respondent should contact: 22 Ciannat Howett Assistant Regional Counsel U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 10 23 1200 Sixth Avenue, Mail Stop ORC-158 Seattle, Washington 98101 24 (206) 553-7359 25 26 27 28

COMPLAINT - 6

1	Note that a request for an informal settlement conference does not extend the thirty (30) day period
2	for filing a written Answer to this Complaint, nor does it waive Respondent's right to request a
3	hearing.
4	VII. <u>RESERVATIONS</u>
5	36. Neither assessment nor payment of an administrative civil penalty pursuant to this
6	Complaint shall affect Respondent's continuing obligations to comply with: (1) the Clean Water Act
7	and all other environmental statutes; (2) the terms and conditions of all applicable Clean Water Act
8	permits; and (3) any Compliance Order issued to Respondent under Section 309(a) of the Act, 33
9	U.S.C. § 1319(a), concerning the violations alleged herein.
10	VIII. QUICK RESOLUTION AND SETTLEMENT
11	37. In accordance with Section 22.18 of the Consolidated Rules of Practice, Respondent may
12	resolve this action at any time after ten (10) days following the close of public comment on this
13	Complaint by mailing the proposed penalty in full to:
14 15	EPA Region 10 Hearing Clerk P.O. Box 360903M Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15251-6903
16	38. Respondent is advised that, after the Complaint is issued, the Consolidated Rules of
17	Practice prohibit any ex parte (unilateral) discussion of the merits of these or any other factually
18	related proceedings with the Administrator, the Environmental Appeals Board or its members, the
19	Regional Administrator, the Regional Judicial Officer, the Presiding Officer, or any other person
20	who is likely to advise these officials in the decision on the case.
21	AL AA .
22	Dated this 7th day of March, 2001
23	P 100 7 P '+
24	Randall F. Smith
25	Director Office of Water
26	Office of water
27	

COMPLAINT - 7

U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY 1200 Sixth Avenue Seattle, Washington 98101 (206) 553-1037

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
2	I certify that the foregoing "Complaint" was sent to the following persons, in the manner
3	specified, on the date below:
4	Original and one copy, hand-delivered:
5	Mary Shillcutt, Regional Hearing Clerk U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 10
6	1200 Sixth Avenue, Mail Stop ORC-158 Seattle, Washington 98101
7	
8	Copy, together with a cover letter and copy of the Part 22 Rules, by certified mail, return receipt requested:
9	RMMTM Corporation, Registered Agent
10	Wards Cove Packing Company 2 Union Square Suite 4800
11	Seattle, Washington 98101
12	Alec W. Brindle, President Wards Cove Packing Company 88 East Hamlin Street Seattle, Washington 98105-0030
13	
14 15	Larry G. Elliot, Superintendent Wards Cove Packing Company d.b.a. E.C. Phillips and Son
16	1775 Tongass Avenue Ketchikan, Alaska 99901
17	
18	
19	
20	Dated: March 7, 2001 Melissa L. Whitaker Melissa Whitaker
21	U.S. EPA Region 10
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
20	A .

28 COMPLAINT - 8

U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY 1200 Sixth Avenue Seattle, Washington 98101 (206) 553-1037